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One main attribute we have discussed that a servant-leader should have is foresight, or the ability to anticipate what will happen based on patterns observed from the past through a combination of rational thought and intuition. In "The Servant as Leader," Robert Greenleaf says that foresight is "the lead that the leader has" and that without it "he is not leading" because events "force his hand." However, I have learned that although lack of foresight can indeed limit the choices of a leader, his/her "lead" may not be lost entirely as long as there are options for action; lack of foresight need not entirely "force [one's] hand," but to avoid the situation in which it does, it is necessary to think creatively about one's remaining options and not assume that one no longer has any options.

I learned this when we reflected on the challenges we were experiencing with the "Computer Literacy Project" at the assisted living facilities in a group meeting with my instructor. We talked about how we had not had much foresight regarding the computers we had obtained as donations; when we made our project plan at the beginning of the semester, we did not even consider the possibility that the donated equipment might not be in good working order and so had planned only a little time for computer set-up. At this point, we were discouraged that we were having to spend a lot of time trying to fix technological problems at the assisted living facilities when, if we had anticipated the need, we could have arranged for someone with more technical expertise than we have to get the computers in working order before we took them to the sites; had we done that (and one of my group members even knows someone who would have been happy to help us out, so it wouldn't have been difficult to find such a person), we would have had working computers much more quickly and could have moved on by now to working on sustainability for the computer literacy project. We felt that, at this point, we would not have enough time to accomplish all of the objectives we had at first set forth for the project; in particular, we realized that we may not be able to firmly establish a permanent "home" program to take on the responsibility of the Computer Literacy Project. We felt that we had no choice but to simply give up this objective—that is, we felt that our lack of foresight had indeed taken away our "lead"—since the pressure we were experiencing from time seemed to be forcing our hand and leaving us no options.

However, my instructor asked us if not being able to completely fulfill an objective meant that we could not progress towards it at all. This question helped us to realize that while we may not be able to fully complete everything we wanted to with this project, we still can make significant progress toward several goals: we have not, therefore, completely lost the ability to provide leadership in this project, as our reading of Greenleaf's discussion of foresight led us to believe. We can establish preliminary contact with possible "home" programs for the project and compile a report of these options. We can locate a source of technological support, whether within the college of engineering or elsewhere, for future participants in the project - as a step toward dealing with the technological problems that we are not going to be able to fix this semester and toward making this part of the project more sustainable. In short, our choice of actions at this point may be limited by our lack of foresight earlier on in the project (we simply do not have the time at this point to get more than one computer at each site installed and working properly, even with the help of my group member's friend, for example, and we therefore we will not be able to hold the 10-person
classes that we had planned to have running before the end of the semester); but we still have choices, and we still have options for making progress on this project before our involvement with it ends. Of course, before I can judge my conviction that leadership options are not, in fact, lost by lack of foresight, it will be necessary to undertake some of these actions and ascertain whether or not it really is too late to have an impact on this project; perhaps there is a point in any project in which leadership is lost due to lack of foresight, and it would be interesting to try to determine through this project and others at what stage in a project's unfolding that point occurs, if in fact it consistently does.

This learning matters because my group and I discovered that we do not agree that lacking foresight causes a leader to "lose [his or her] lead" as Greenleaf states and were able to use this discovery to help us overcome our discouragement and sense of failure. Perhaps our experiences with the consequences of lacking foresight are different from Greenleaf's ideas of these consequences because we were able to identify this mistake as we were making it. Our overall understanding of the concept of foresight has not only been reinforced by but also revised through the service-learning process of reflection. If we had failed to reflect on our service experiences, we might never have realized we were failing in the area of foresight in connection with our technological problems. Then we would indeed be having our hands forced by events, as we would not have the opportunity that we do now to analyze how we can change our actions to prevent this. Even though our efforts in this service project have been compromised by our lack of foresight, I believe we can utilize other leadership skills to retain our "lead" in this project. We can reassess the highest priority needs of the project, be flexible, and learn to work within the limitations that our lack of foresight has caused. Basically, this learning matters because it has reinforced both to me and to my group members the importance of foresight when trying to achieve goals and also caused us to find alternate ways of working towards those goals when our lack of foresight brings us into situations of limited options.

In light of this learning I will spend some time after our meeting with the facility manager to foresee what situations may come up in the last five weeks of this project, write these ideas down, and share them with my group members. This will be difficult simply because foresight is, in the paradoxical words of Greenleaf, "foresee[ing] the unforeseeable." Since there are so many challenges and changes that may yet arise in this project, it will be hard to predict them. However, I believe that examining and reflecting on our past experiences with the project will help me to do this. As Greenleaf states, foresight often requires a leader to have a "feel for patterns," and one important way for me to recognize and sense patterns in the progress of this project is by reflecting on my past experiences and current involvement with this project. Also, I can be thinking of what choices still remain for us as we seek to come as close to completing our objectives for this project as possible, and how we can act upon these choices.

Has this student learned through reflection on experience?

Do you have evidence of her having learned?

What evidence would you like to see that you don't find here?
Worksheet: “Reclaiming Reflection”

“Reflection”                                  “Critical reflection”

Mark with an X how YOU think of reflection
Mark with an O how YOUR STUDENTS think of reflection

If they are not the same, why might this matter and what might you do about it?
What is Critical Reflection?

"Experience is the best teacher – Or is it?"
(Conrad and Hedin)

"active, persistent and careful consideration of any belief or supposed form of knowledge in the light of the grounds that support it, and the further conclusions to which it tends"
Dewey, J. (1910). *How we think*

"a continual interweaving of thinking and doing"

to "integrate the understanding gained into one’s experience in order to enable better choices or actions in the future as well as enhance one’s overall effectiveness"

"We had the experience but missed the meaning"
Eliot, T.S (1943) *The Four Quartets*

Critical Reflection

1) Generates
2) Deepens
3) Documents

Learning

PHC Ventures, 2010
Conceptualizing Experiential Education

Components

- academic material
- relevant experience
- critical reflection

Categories of Learning (critical thinking in all)

- personal growth
- civic learning
- academic enhancement

Partners

- "community"
- students
- faculty/staff
Learning Categories → Learning Goals & Objectives

Bloom's Taxonomy of the Cognitive Domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning Goal</th>
<th>Learning Objectives (LOs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Category: Academic Enhancement | LO #1
Students will identify the 7 principles |
| Goal: I want students to understand and be able to use Chickering and Gamson’s 7 principles for good practice in undergraduate education | LO #2
Students will explain the 7 principles in their own words so that someone not familiar with them could understand them |
| | LO #3
Students will apply the 7 principles to their roles as teachers of young children or elderly residents in the community, using them to design learning activities |
| | LO #4
Students will analyze the similarities and differences between the 7 principles as outlined in the text and as experienced with their learner population in the community |
| | LO #5
Students will propose changes to the 7 principles |
| | LO #6
Students will evaluate both their implementation of the 7 principles (original and revised) with their learner population and the relevance of the principles for this population |
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Generating Learning through Critical Reflection

Designing Reflection Mechanisms

- Determine learning goals / objectives in advance
- Develop prompts to guide reflection in accordance with the learning goals / objectives
- Share with the students elements of sound reasoning / critical thinking and apply them as standards to deepen reflection (don’t “miss the meaning”)
- Develop a mechanism capturing and expressing key learnings
- Support students in using / acting on their learning, including setting goals

A Continuum of Possibilities (field: Teacher Education)

Example reflection mechanism #1:
Reflect on your strengths and weaknesses

Example reflection mechanism #2:
List and explain 2 of your strengths and 2 of your weaknesses

Example reflection mechanism #3:
List and explain 2 of your strengths and 2 of your weaknesses. Share with a neighbor. Together, select the strength that, for each of you, is more relevant to / helpful in your role as a teacher

Example reflection mechanism #4:
According to Parker Palmer, “limitations are the flip side of our gifts ... a particular weakness is the inevitable trade-off of a particular strength.” There is nothing “wrong” with us that we need to “fix,” he suggests. Rather, we are who we are; sometimes our personal characteristics serve us well (and we think of them as strengths), and sometimes they serve us ill (and we think of them as weaknesses). [Let Your Life Speak, 2000]

Individually and in writing ... 
➢ Identify and explain a personal characteristic that you tend to think of as a weakness in your role as a teacher
➢ Apply Palmer’s discussion: What gift or strength do you think this “weakness” might be the flip side of?

Discuss with a neighbor ...
➢ Compare and contrast a teaching-related situation in which the weakness emerged and one in which the flip side strength emerged. Why do you think each emerged as it did and what were the consequences?
➢ If Palmer is correct regarding the relationship between our strengths and weaknesses, what do you think are the implications for our approach to personal and professional development as teachers?

Individually and in writing ...
➢ Do you agree with Palmer? Why or why not?
Sample DEAL Prompts

**Describe (objectively)**
- When did this experience take place?
- Where did it take place?
- Who else was there? Who wasn’t there?
- What did I do? What did others do? What actions did I/we take?
- What did I/we say or otherwise communicate?
- Who didn’t speak or act?
- Did I/others laugh, cry, make a face, etc.?
- What did I/we hear? See? Smell? Taste? Touch?
- Why did the situation occur?

**Examine – Academic Enhancement**
- What specific academic material is relevant to this experience? Explain the concept, theory, etc clearly and concisely so that someone unfamiliar with it could understand it.
- How did the material emerge in the experience (When did I see it or note its absence? How did or should I or someone else use it?)?
- What academic (e.g., disciplinary, intellectual, professional) skills did I use/should I have used? In what ways did I/others think from the perspective of a particular discipline and with what results?
- In what specific ways are my understanding of the material or skill and the experience the same and in what specific ways are they different? What are the possible reasons for the difference(s) (e.g., bias, assumptions, lack of information on my part or on the part of the author/instructor/community?)

**Examine – Civic Learning**
- What was I/someone else trying to accomplish? Why?
- In taking the actions I/they did, was the focus on symptoms or underlying causes? Was the focus (symptom or cause) appropriate to the situation? How might I/they focus more on underlying causes in the future? What trade-offs will be associated with doing so?
- What roles did each person/group/organization involved in the situation play and why? What alternative roles could each have played? Did I/other individuals act unilaterally or collaboratively and why? Should I/they have worked with others in a different way?
- In what ways did differences in power and privilege emerge in this experience? What are the sources of power and privilege in this situation? Who benefits and is harmed? How might any inappropriate dependencies be eliminated?
- How did leadership emerge in this situation, on my/others’ part?
- What is in the interest of the common good in this situation? In what ways is the individual good (mine/other) linked to and/or contrary to the common good? What trade-offs between them are involved?
- In what way did any other trade-offs (long-term/short-term; justice/efficiency; etc.) emerge in this situation? Who made the trade-offs? Were the trade-offs made appropriate or inappropriate and why?
- How does this experience help me to better understand my partner organization’s vision, mission, and strategies? What does it reveal about the relationship between the organization and those it serves? What does it suggest about how this relationship might be improved?
- How do members of this community define concepts such as ‘community,’ ‘leadership,’ ‘power,’ etc.? What are the similarities and differences between their definitions and my own? What role does nationality/ethnicity/culture/history/etc. play in these differences?

**Examine – Personal Growth**
- What assumptions or expectations did I bring to the situation? How did they affect what I did or didn’t think, feel, decide, or do? To what extent did they prove true? If they did not prove true, why was there a discrepancy?
How did this experience make me feel? Why? How did I handle my emotional reactions (e.g., What did I do as a result of my feelings? Was I in control of my feelings?)? Should I have felt differently? Why or why not?

In what ways did I succeed or do well in this situation (e.g., interacting with others, accomplishing tasks, handling difficulties) and what personal characteristics helped me to be successful (e.g., skills, abilities, perspectives, attitudes, tendencies, knowledge)? In what ways did I experience difficulties (e.g., interacting with others, accomplishing tasks,) and what personal characteristics contributed to the difficulties (e.g., skills, abilities, perspectives, attitudes, tendencies, knowledge)? What does this tell me about how I define success? Is my definition appropriate? How might it change? Should it change?

How does / might any of these personal characteristics positively and/or negatively affect my interactions with others, my decisions, and/or my actions in this situation and in other areas of my life?

What are the possible sources of / reasons for this characteristic? How does my understanding of these sources / reasons help me to better understand what will be involved in using, improving, or changing this characteristic in the future?

Examine –

Articulate Learning

“I learned that” …
• Express an important learning, not just a statement of fact
• Provide a clear and correct explanation of the concept(s) in question so that someone not in the experience could understand it.
• Explain your enhanced understanding of the concept(s), as a result of reflection on the experience
• Be expressed in general terms, not just in the context of the experience (so that the learning can be applied more broadly to other experiences)

“I learned this when” …
• Connect the learning to specific activities that gave rise to it, making clear what happened in the context of that experience so that someone who wasn’t there could understand it.

“This learning matters because” …
• Consider how the learning has value, both in terms of this situation and in broader terms, such as other organizations, communities, activities, issues, professional goals, courses, etc.

“In light of this learning” …
• Set specific and assessable goals ; consider the benefits and challenges involved in fulfilling them
• Tie back clearly to the original learning statement.
Integrating Reflection and Assessment:
Critical Thinking and Higher Order Reasoning

Review the following statements and consider what feedback you’d like to give the authors in order to improve the quality of their reasoning and of their interactions with others.

A: "Everybody knows that smoking is bad, so parents shouldn't smoke when they have children; those parents who do smoke obviously just don't care about their kids."

B: "I was not used to working with so many kids. This made me nervous and I do not like being nervous. This is important to know because I know that in the future I do not want to have a job that requires me to work with children. After I worked with them for a few weeks and got to know them the nervousness wore off and I became comfortable. This was important because it allowed me to be the best role model I could be."

C: "Being effective at improving the lives of a group of disadvantaged people (in this case the academic performance of underprivileged children) includes not only being good at the specific task or activity we are bringing to the community (explaining academic concepts to them), but also making them feel special and loved. This helps to make them more receptive to our efforts. It became clear that the more we got to know the kids and showed them that we actually cared about them, the more willing they were to pay attention to us and their homework. For example, when I first started working with William, he wouldn’t even look at me or acknowledge my presence. But every week I brought him little gifts, like candy and stickers, and slowly he began to warm up to me so that now he runs up when I come in and is ready to get to work... This matters because underprivileged children are not getting the attention that they need at home..."

D: We talked about how we had not had much foresight regarding the computers we had obtained as donations; when we made our project plan at the beginning of the semester, we did not even consider the possibility that the donated equipment might not be in good working order and so had planned only a little time for computer set-up. At this point, we were discouraged that we were having to spend a lot of time trying to fix technological problems at the assisted living facilities when, if we had anticipated the need, we could have arranged for someone with more technical expertise than we have to get the computers in working order before we took them to the sites; had we done that (and one of my group members even knows someone who would have been happy to help us out, so it wouldn't have been difficult to find such a person), we would have had working computers much more quickly and could have moved on by now to working on sustainability for the computer literacy project. We felt that, at this point, we would not have enough time to accomplish all of the objectives we had at first set forth for the project; in particular, we realized that we may not be able to firmly establish a permanent "home" program to take on the responsibility of the Computer Literacy Project. We felt that we had no choice but to simply give up this objective—that is, we felt that our lack of foresight had indeed taken away our "lead"—since the pressure we were experiencing from time seemed to be forcing our hand and leaving us no options.
### DEAL Model Critical Thinking Standards Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Critical Thinking Standard</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Associated questions to ask to check your thinking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Integration</td>
<td>Service experience clearly related to the learning</td>
<td>- Have I clearly shown the connection between my experience and my learning?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Clarity                   | Expands on ideas, express ideas in another way, provides examples or illustrations where appropriate. | - Did I give an example?  
- Is it clear what I mean by this?  
- Could I elaborate further? |
| Accuracy                  | All statements are factually correct and/or supported with evidence. | - How do I know this?  
- Is this true?  
- How could I check on this or verify it? |
| Precision                 | Statements contain specific information | - Can I be more specific?  
- Have I provided sufficient detail? |
| Relevance                 | All statements are relevant to the question at hand; all statements connect to the central point. | - How does this relate to the issue being discussed?  
- How does this help us/me deal with the issue being discussed? |
| Depth                     | Explains the reasons behind conclusions and anticipates and answers the questions that the reasoning raises and/or acknowledges the complexity of the issue. | - Why is this so?  
- What are some of the complexities here?  
- What would it take for this to happen?  
- Would this be easy to do? |
| Breadth                   | Considers alternative points of view or how someone else might have interpreted the situation. | - Would this look the same from the perspective of:...?  
- Is there another way to interpret what this means? |
| Logic                     | The line of reasoning makes sense and follows from the facts and/or what has been said. | - Does what I said at the beginning fit with what I concluded at the end?  
- Do my conclusions match the evidence that I have presented? |
| Significance              | The conclusions or goals represent a (the) major issue raised by the reflection on experience. | - Is this the most important issue to focus on?  
- Is this most significant problem to consider? |
| Fairness                  | Other points of view are represented with integrity (without bias or distortion) | - Have I represented this viewpoint in such a way that the person who holds it would agree with my characterization? |

### DEAL Model Critical Thinking Rubric


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CT Set A</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Integration</strong></td>
<td>Provides no clear connection between the experience and the learning</td>
<td>Provides minimal and/or unclear connection between the experience and the learning</td>
<td>Provides adequate and reasonably clear connection between the experience and the learning</td>
<td>Provides thorough and very clear connection(s) between the experience and the learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relevance</strong></td>
<td>Misclassifies the learning and/or inappropriately shifts from one category of learning goal to another; fails to keep the discussion specific to the learning</td>
<td>Discusses learning that is relevant to the category of learning goal, but much of the discussion is not related to the learning</td>
<td>Discusses learning that is relevant to the category of learning goal and keeps the discussion reasonably well focused on the learning</td>
<td>Discusses learning that is relevant to the category of learning goal and keeps the discussion well-focused on the learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accuracy</strong></td>
<td>Consistently makes inaccurate statements and/or fails to provide supporting evidence for claims</td>
<td>Makes several inaccurate statements and/or supports few statements with evidence</td>
<td>Usually but not always makes statements that are accurate and well-supported with evidence</td>
<td>Consistently makes statements that are accurate and well-supported with evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clarity</strong></td>
<td>Consistently fails to provide examples, to illustrate points, to define terms, and/or to express ideas in other ways</td>
<td>Only occasionally provides examples, illustrates points, defines terms, and/or expresses ideas in other ways</td>
<td>Usually but not always provides examples, illustrates points, defines terms, and/or expresses ideas in other ways</td>
<td>Consistently provides examples, illustrates points, defines terms, and/or expresses ideas in other ways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Precision</strong></td>
<td>Consistently fails to provide specific information, descriptions, or data</td>
<td>Only occasionally provides specific information, descriptions, or data</td>
<td>Usually but not always provides specific information, descriptions, or data</td>
<td>Consistently provides specific information, descriptions, or data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Writing</strong></td>
<td>Consistently makes typographical, spelling, and/or grammatical errors</td>
<td>Makes several typographical, spelling, and/or grammatical errors</td>
<td>Makes few typographical, spelling, and/or grammatical errors</td>
<td>Makes very few or no typographical, spelling, and/or grammatical errors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CT Set B</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depth</strong></td>
<td>Fails to address salient questions that arise from statements being made; consistently over-simplifies when making connections; fails to consider any of the complexities of the issue</td>
<td>Addresses few of the salient questions that arise from statements being made; often over-simplifies when making connections; considers little of the complexity of the issue</td>
<td>Addresses some but not all of the salient questions that arise from statements being made; rarely over-simplifies when making connections; considers some but not all of the full complexity of the issue</td>
<td>Thoroughly addresses salient questions that arise from statements being made; avoids over-simplifying when making connections; considers the full complexity of the issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Breadth</strong></td>
<td>Ignores or superficially considers alternative points of view and/or interpretations</td>
<td>Gives minimal consideration to alternative points of view and/or interpretations and makes very limited use of them in shaping the learning being articulated</td>
<td>Gives some consideration to alternative points of view and/or interpretations and makes some use of them in shaping the learning being articulated</td>
<td>Gives meaningful consideration to alternative points of view and/or interpretations and makes very good use of them in shaping the learning being articulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Logic</strong></td>
<td>Draws conclusions and/or sets goals that don’t follow at all from the line of reasoning presented</td>
<td>Draws conclusions and/or sets goals that only occasionally follow reasonably well from the line of reasoning presented</td>
<td>Draws conclusions and/or sets goals that usually follow well from the line of reasoning presented</td>
<td>Draws conclusions and/or sets goals that consistently follow very well from the line of reasoning presented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Significance</strong></td>
<td>Draws conclusions and/or sets goals that don’t address the most significant issue(s) raised by the experience</td>
<td>Draws conclusions and/or sets goals that only minimally address the significant issue(s) raised by the experience</td>
<td>Draws conclusions and/or sets goals that usually address fairly significant issues raised by the experience</td>
<td>Draws important conclusions and/or sets meaningful goals that substantially address the most significant issue(s) raised by the experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fairness</strong></td>
<td>Consistently represents others’ perspectives in a biased or distorted way</td>
<td>Occasionally represents others’ perspectives in a biased or distorted way</td>
<td>Often but not always represents others’ perspectives with integrity</td>
<td>Consistently represents others’ perspectives with integrity (without bias or distortion)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning Objective Level</th>
<th>Academic Enhancement Learning Objectives</th>
<th>Associated Guiding Prompts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LO 1: Identify</td>
<td>Identify a specific academic concept.</td>
<td>Identify a specific academic concept related to your experience that you now understand better as a result of reflection on that experience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO 2: Explain</td>
<td>Explain the academic concept.</td>
<td>Explain the academic concept (so that someone not in your class would understand it).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO 3: Apply</td>
<td>Apply the academic concept in the context of the experience.</td>
<td>How does the academic concept apply to your experience? (E.g., When did you see it, or note its absence? How did, or could, you or someone else use it?)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| LO 4: Analyze           | Analyze your initial understanding* of the academic concept in light of the experience. | 4.1 Compare and contrast your initial understanding of the academic concept and your experience of it: In what specific ways are your understanding and the experience the same and in what specific ways are they different? —AND—  
4.2 What are the possible reasons for the difference(s) (E.g., bias, assumptions, lack of information on your part or on the part of the author/instructor/community) |
| LO 5: Synthesize        | Develop an enhanced understanding of the academic concept in light of the experience. | How do you now understand the concept differently than you did before? In other words, what do you now see in the concept that you had not seen before (complexities, subtleties, new dimensions, etc.)? |
| LO 6: Evaluate          | Evaluate the completeness of your understanding of the concept and of its use in the community. | 6.1 How, specifically, might you now explain the concept differently, to express your enhanced understanding of it? —AND—  
6.2 What additional questions need to be answered and/or evidence gathered in order to test the appropriateness of this preliminary revision in your understanding of the concept? —AND—  
6.3 Based on this enhanced understanding of the concept, how, specifically, might you and/or your partner organization need to act differently in the future (or, how might you have acted differently in the past) AND what are the associated benefits and challenges? |

* For example, based on your encounter with it to date in readings, lectures, class discussions, previous courses, etc. Remember that your understanding of an idea is always incomplete—perhaps because it is your first encounter with it, or because it was not presented in its entirety, or because your interpretation or that of the author/instructor is limited. The very nature of ideas is that our understanding of them can always deepen, expand, or change as we continue to read, listen, experience, study, and reflect.
10 Summary Tips for Designing Critical Reflection

1. "Everything is reflection-worthy": Few if any details are too small or insignificant to have meaning, and all experiences—whether designed for learning or serendipitous—as well as readings, observations, events, etc. present opportunities for a wide range of learning.

2. Critical reflection is the part of experiential learning that generates, deepens, and documents learning. When used in this capacity, it needs to be understood not as "touchy-feely," non-grade-able, private, stream-of-consciousness but rather as a reasoning process that is analytical, integrative, assessable, subject to public critique, and structured/guided.

3. Critical reflection can generate learning outcomes that include knowledge, skills, attitudes/values, and behaviors. If it is to generate assessable learning, critical reflection should be guided in accordance with the desired learning outcomes.

4. Reflection can be guided by a facilitator orally, by a set of written prompts, or by other methods. This guidance should enable the learner to generate learning and then assist them in articulating it coherently and cohesively, in part so as to inform future learning and action.

5. Reflection activities can be written or oral or both, and they can be individual or collaborative or both; perhaps the strongest reflection combines all four possiblities. Reflection can involve physical movement, drawing, audio-visual elements ... the possibilities are limited only by the facilitator’s creativity.

6. Critical reflection can be designed iteratively and therefore build on itself cumulatively. Relatedly, it is helpful to use a pre-mid-post structure that focuses the learner’s attention on changes in his/her assumptions and reasoning processes and on progress toward fulfilling objectives.

7. An overall reflection strategy may integrate multiple reflection mechanisms. A reflection strategy answers the questions
   a. "When do we reflect?" (at what points during the experience or course or project)
   b. "Why do we reflect?" (toward what learning objectives)
   c. "Where do we reflect?" (in what settings, geographic or virtual)
   d. "Who reflects?" (learners alone or together, with facilitators, with other participants)
   A reflection mechanism answers the question: "How do we reflect?" (with what guidance, in what structure)

8. It is useful to begin designing a reflection strategy or mechanism by considering such questions as:
   a. Who are the learners (what experience, skills, etc. do they bring and not bring)?
   b. Who is the facilitator, if there is one (what experience, skills, etc. does he/she bring and not bring)?
   c. What are the objectives?
   d. What are the constraints?

9. Reflection requires a “safe yet critical” space: the risks associated with meaning making need to be acknowledged and minimized while adhering to high standards of reasoning. While reflection is not about generating one right answer, not all reasoning is equally valid. Reflection can be used to support learners in making reasoned judgments that are well-supported with evidence and that result from consideration of multiple perspective—not merely expressing opinions.

10. Learning through critical reflection is often an unfamiliar (counter-normative) process, which requires intentional capacity-building: many learners need to learn how to learn through critical reflection. Facilitators modeling reflection can be an important part of such capacity-building and can enhance their own learning as well.

Which tips are most significant to you?
Which tips would you revise and how?
What additional tips do you recommend?
Specific enhancement(s) you might make to your approach(es) to reflection, in light of the “Tips”:
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**Describe** your current approach(es) to reflection

What reflection activities do you use?

When in the semester?

Written or oral or both?

Individual or collaborative or both?

How is reflection guided?

**Examine** your current approaches to reflection:

Evaluate your current approaches to reflection against the following criteria. On a scale of 1 – 10, with 1 = “not at all” and 10 = extremely, to what extent do your current approaches embody each of these characteristics of strong reflection?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>link experience to learning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>guided</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>occur regularly</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>involve feedback to the learner to enhance the learning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>help clarify the learner’s values</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>oriented toward specific learning objectives</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>integrative</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>assessed in terms of critical thinking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>actionable / include goals for future action</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>generate change in the learner’s life</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>occur in a “safe yet critical” context</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Describe critical reflection

Examine critical reflection
What are the most significant similarities between your current approaches and critical reflection as we have discussed it today?

What are the most significant differences?

What specific elements of critical reflection do you want to incorporate more of? Why?

What challenges might you face in making changes to your current approaches to reflection? What trade-offs might be required?

What do you want to learn more about regarding critical reflection?

Articulate learning
The strengths of your current approach(es) to reflection are ...

What specifically about your current approach (es) to reflection should be enhanced?

What are your other most important take-away messages from this reflection on reflection?

What specific actions will you take in light of your learning? When will you take them? With whom? What challenges will they present and how will you deal with those challenges?
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